UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, PETITIONER,)	
V.) 5:08-HC-2156-BG	С
GERALD WAYNE TIMMS, RESPONDENT.))	

STATUS CONFERENCE
MARCH 29, 2011
BEFORE THE HONORABLE TERRENCE W. BOYLE
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT:

MR. EDWARD GRAY ASST. U.S. ATTORNEY 310 NEW BERN AVE. RALEIGH, NC

FOR THE RESPONDENT:

MR. RAYMOND C. TARLTON ATTORNEY AT LAW P.O. BOX 27901 RALEIGH, NC

COURT REPORTER: DONNA J. TOMAWSKI STENOTYPE WITH COMPUTER AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

MARCH 29, 2011 1 THE COURT: IN THE TIMMS CASE, HAVE YOU GONE 2 3 FORWARD WITH YOUR DISCOVERY? 4 MR. GRAY: YES, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE. THE COURT: OKAY. SO YOU'VE PROVIDED THE 5 DOCUMENTS AND PROVIDED THE PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION? 6 7 MR. GRAY: YES, YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE. THE COURT: WHAT ARE WE WAITING ON THEN IN 8 9 TIMMS? HAVE THEY DONE THEIR DISCOVERY AND PROVIDED YOU 10 WITH ANYTHING? 11 MR. GRAY: WE HAVE NOT RECEIVED AN EXPERT REPORT FROM THE OTHER SIDE YET, SIR, OR ANY DISCOVERY AT THIS 12 13 TIME. 14 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE ANY DISCOVERY OUTSTANDING IN TIMMS? 15 16 MR. GRAY: NOT FROM US, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. MR. TARLTON, WHERE DO YOU STAND ON THE DISCOVERY ASPECT OF THE CASE? 18 19 MR. TARLTON: YOUR HONOR, WE RETAINED AN EXPERT 20 WHO'S IN THE PROCESS OF REVIEWING THE DOCUMENTS WE 21 RECEIVED ON FEBRUARY 16, I THINK, THE DOCUMENTARY 22 DISCLOSURES. THE FIRST EXPERT REPORT WAS DELIVERED TO US 23 ON MARCH 3 AND THEN A SECOND EXPERT REPORT FROM ANOTHER 24 EXPERT WAS DELIVERED ON, I THINK, MARCH 11. OUR EXPERTS 25 HAVE BEEN REVIEWING ALL OF THESE MATERIALS.

I PUT IN A MESSAGE TO HIM YESTERDAY, I THOUGHT HE WAS 1 GOING TO HAVE SOME KIND OF A REPORT --2 3 THE COURT: IS YOUR EXPERT A PSYCHOLOGIST OR 4 PSYCHIATRIST? MR. TARLTON: FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGIST, DR. JOHN 5 WARREN, LOCATED IN WINSTON-SALEM, YOUR HONOR. 6 7 THE COURT: IS HE PREPARING A REPORT HIMSELF? MR. TARLTON: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE APPLIED FOR 8 CJA FUNDS TO HIRE HIM. WE'RE NOT HIDING THE BALL, IT WAS 9 10 AN EX PARTE REQUEST BUT WE HAVEN'T FORMALLY DISCLOSED JOHN 11 WARREN TO THE GOVERNMENT AT THIS POINT. WE'RE SIMPLY 12 LOOKING TO GET HIS REPORT AND IF WE INTEND TO USE 13 DR. WARREN THEN OBVIOUSLY WE WILL HAVE TO COMPLY WITH THE 14 DISCOVERY DISCLOSURES. 15 THE COURT: WHAT'S HE GOING TO DO? IS HE GOING 16 TO EXAMINE YOUR CLIENT? 17 MR. TARLTON: AT THIS POINT WE'RE NOT PROCEEDING IN THAT MANNER, YOUR HONOR. SIMPLY DOING AN INDEPENDENT 18 19 EVALUATION OF THE SAME NATURE THAT THE GOVERNMENT'S TWO 20 EXPERTS HAVE DONE IN THIS CASE. 21 THE COURT: OKAY. HOW FAR ALONG ARE YOU FOR 22 TRIAL? 23 MR. TARLTON: YOUR HONOR, WE RECEIVED A LOT OF 24 INFORMATION, OVER 2,600 PAGES. I REVIEWED THE DISCOVERY 25 ONE TIME. I'M WAITING TO HEAR BACK FROM MY EXPERT SO I

CAN BECOME ENLIGHTENED AS TO SOME OF THE AREAS OF THE -- I 1 2 HAVE DONE SOME OF MY OWN INDEPENDENT RESEARCH OF IT BUT 3 I'M NOT QUALIFIED IN THAT AREA. 4 THEY HAVE NOW TWO EXPERT REPORTS. WE'RE GOING TO 5 HAVE TO PREPARE TO CROSS-EXAMINE THOSE EXPERTS IN THIS 6 CASE. 7 YOUR HONOR IS AWARE WE ALSO FILED FOR CJA FUNDING FOR A PARALEGAL IN THIS MATTER TO PROVIDE LITIGATION SUPPORT. 8 9 MR. JOHNNY GASKINS, A FORMER LAWYER, IS NOW WORKING AS A 10 PARALEGAL, HE'S NOT PRACTICING LAW. HE'S HANDLING 11 LITIGATION SUPPORT IN THESE 4248 CASES. WE ARE SEEKING 12 FUNDING FOR THAT. 13 SO THAT BEING SAID, IT'S HARD TO GIVE AN EXACT 14 PREDICTION, ALTHOUGH WE WILL BE FULLY PREPARED FOR TRIAL. 15 AT LEAST WITH OUR EXPERT REPORTS IN HAND, WE DO EXPECT TO 16 HAVE THAT WITHIN THE NEXT WEEK OR SO, I IMAGINE, BASED ON 17 PRIOR REPRESENTATIONS FROM OUR EXPERT. THE COURT: HOW LONG HAS MR. TIMMS BEEN IN 18 19 CUSTODY? WAS HE A 2007 CASE? 20 MR. TARLTON: I'M NOT SURE I FOLLOW YOUR HONOR. 21 THE COURT: WHEN WAS HE FIRST CERTIFIED? 22 MR. TARLTON: NOVEMBER OF 2008. NEARLY 28 23 MONTHS. AS YOUR HONOR IS AWARE, WE FILED MOTIONS STATING 24 THAT MR. TIMMS IS CONSTITUTIONALLY ENTITLED TO PRETRIAL

RELEASE OR A PROBABLE CAUSE HEARING IN THE ALTERNATIVE,

25

SOMETHING WE ARGUE WOULD HAVE TO GO FORTH WITHOUT EXPERTS. 1 GIVEN THE AMOUNT OF DELAY THAT OCCURRED IN THE CASE, HE 2 3 WAS CONSTITUTIONALLY ENTITLED TO THAT. 4 THE GOVERNMENT HAS NOW HAD ENOUGH TIME TO RETAIN TWO EXPERTS, HAVE THEM GENERATE EVALUATIONS AND WE CERTAINLY 5 NEED TIME TO EFFECTIVELY CONFRONT THAT. 6 7 THE COURT: OTHER THAN THE CERTIFICATION, DO I HAVE ANY OF THE CURRENT EXAMINATIONS? I DON'T THINK I 8 9 HAVE THAT HERE. DO YOU HAVE -- YOU HAVEN'T FILED ANYTHING 10 WITH THE COURT? 11 MR. GRAY: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE NOT FILED THEM. 12 THEY WILL BE FILED BY THE END OF THE DAY. 13 THE COURT: OKAY. HIS CERTIFICATION GOES BACK 14 TO JUNE OF 2008 AND THAT'S BY ANTHONY JIMENEZ. I'M 15 INCLINED TO SET IT FOR TRIAL ON MAY 23, AND EXPECT TO DO 16 THAT. 17 HIS PRIOR CONDUCT IS PRETTY EGREGIOUS. IF YOU JUST BRING FORWARD ALL THE RECORDS THAT YOU HAVE, I THINK WE'LL 18 19 BE ABLE TO HAVE A TRIAL ON IT. IT DOESN'T LOOK LIKE A 20 REALLY CLOSE CASE FROM HERE, BUT I'LL WAIT ON THAT. 21 WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO HAVE AT TRIAL? ARE YOU GOING TO HAVE EVIDENCE THAT HE'S NOT SEXUALLY DANGEROUS AND NOT 22 23 SUFFERING FROM A MAJOR MENTAL DISORDER?

EXPECT TO CROSS-EXAMINE. THIS RECIDIVISM SIGN IS

MR. TARLTON: ABSOLUTELY, YOUR HONOR. WE WILL

24

25

- 1 INCREDIBLY CONTROVERSIAL IN THE MEDICAL COMMUNITY.
- 2 | THERE'S NO CONSISTENCY ON IT. IT'S NOT JUST THAT HE DID
- 3 | SOMETHING HORRIBLE IN THE PAST, THEY HAVE TO SHOW
- 4 | PROPENSITY TO RECIDIVATE. THERE'S SIMPLY -- WELL, WE'RE
- 5 GOING TO HAVE A TRIAL ON IT.
- THE COURT: YOU CAN TALK TO ME NOW, I'M LEARNING
- 7 AS I GO ALONG.
- 8 MR. TARLTON: I'M LEARNING TOO, YOUR HONOR.
- 9 THAT'S OUR MAIN POINT IN HAVING OUR OWN EXPERT.
- 10 **THE COURT:** THERE ISN'T GOING TO BE ANY DISPUTE
- 11 ABOUT THE BARBARIC EVENTS THAT HAPPENED IN THE PAST, THOSE
- 12 ARE WELL DOCUMENTED.
- 13 MR. TARLTON: THE FACT THAT THE CONVICTIONS ARE
- 14 THERE, ABSOLUTELY.
- THE COURT: AND SO THE QUESTION IS, THE NARROW
- 16 QUESTION, OF WHETHER ALL OF THESE THINGS BEING
- 17 UNCONTROVERTED, DOES THAT ESTABLISH THAT HE HAS A
- 18 | PROPENSITY TO CONTINUE TO DO THEM IN THE FUTURE. IF HE
- 19 ANSWERS YES, THEN HE GETS DETAINED; IF IT'S NO, THEN HE
- 20 DOESN'T.
- 21 MR. TARLTON: MY PRELIMINARY RESEARCH --
- THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF SUPERVISED RELEASE IS
- 23 HE FACING?
- 24 MR. TARLTON: HE HAS FIVE YEARS SUPERVISED
- 25 | RELEASE THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO START IN 2008, OR THREE

YEARS. HE'S SAYING THREE YEARS, I THOUGHT IT WAS FIVE. 1 THE COURT: OKAY. WHAT DO YOU NEED TO DO TO BE 2 3 ABLE TO TELL ME THAT YOU'RE READY TO GO TO TRIAL IN MAY? 4 MR. TARLTON: REALLY, JUST SIMPLY NEED MY EXPERT TO COME TO ME WITH HIS REPORT. I JUST NEED TO BE ABLE TO 5 EFFECTIVELY CROSS-EXAMINE THE TWO EXPERTS THAT THEY ARE 6 INTENDING TO PUT ON. THAT'S THE HEART OF THIS CASE. 7 THE COURT: THE HEART OF IT IS, YOU KNOW, DO WE 8 9 HAVE RELIABLE PROOF THAT HE'S GOING TO DO IT AGAIN, AND IF 10 SO, HE SHOULDN'T BE RELEASED. 11 MR. TARLTON: RIGHT. 12 THE COURT: I THINK WE CAN GET TO THAT POINT. 13 ARE YOU IN LINE WITH THIS? 14 MR. GRAY: YES, YOUR HONOR. WE WOULD ONLY ASK THAT THERE BE A DEADLINE SET FOR THE EXPERT REPORT TO BE 15 16 PROVIDED TO THE GOVERNMENT. 17 THE COURT: THEIR EXPERT REPORT? MR. GRAY: YES, YOUR HONOR. 18 19 THE COURT: LET'S MAKE THAT APRIL 18. THAT 20 GIVES YOU MORE THAN A MONTH BEFORE THE TRIAL SETTING. 21 MR. GRAY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: ARE YOU OKAY WITH THAT, MR. TARLTON? 23 MR. TARLTON: ABSOLUTELY. I DON'T HAVE THAT 24 REPORT IN HAND BUT I WILL PUT PRESSURE OR, YOU KNOW, GET 25 IN IMMEDIATE TOUCH WITH OUR EXPERT.

THE COURT: I'D LIKE TO GET HALL AND TIMMS ON 1 2 LINE FOR DISPOSITION AND SHOW THAT WE'RE DOING SOMETHING 3 HERE IN THIS DISTRICT. 4 ANYTHING ELSE RIGHT NOW? MR. TARLTON: ONE ISSUE, YOUR HONOR, MY CLIENT 5 RAISED WITH ME. I'M NOT SURE IF THIS IS THE PROPER FORUM 6 7 TO BRING IT UP, BUT HIS FIANCEE, KAREN ATKINS, IS PRESENT HERE TODAY. 8 9 THE COURT: HE WHAT? 10 MR. TARLTON: MR. TIMMS' FIANCEE. AS HE 11 CONTINUES TO BE DETAINED BEFORE THERE'S BEEN ANY JUDICIAL 12 REVIEW IN THIS CASE, HE HAS BEEN DENIED ANY VISITATION 1.3 RIGHTS WITH HER. I SUGGESTED TO MY CLIENT THAT HE PURSUE 14 ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES. MY CLIENT'S POSITION IS THAT 15 HE'S NOT TECHNICALLY DEEMED TO BE A PRISONER, RATHER A 16 DETAINEE, HE'S NOT ENTITLED TO REMEDIES TO SEEK THAT SHE'S 17 ON THE VISITATION LIST. HE WANTED ME TO BRING THAT TO THE COURT'S ATTENTION. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE COURT CAN DO IN 18 19 THAT MATTER. 20 THE COURT: I CAN'T DO ANYTHING INFORMALLY. IF 21 YOU ARE GOING TO TAKE SOME ACTION, YOU NEED TO DO IT THROUGH THE NORMAL PROCEDURES. IT'S UP TO THE LAWYER TO 22

TAKE THE INITIATIVE.

MR. TARLTON: YES, YOUR HONOR.

23

24

25

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ANYTHING FROM THE

1	GOVERNMENT?
2	MR. GRAY: NO, YOUR HONOR.
3	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I'LL ENTER AN ORDER TO
4	THAT EFFECT AND WE'LL GO FORWARD WITH THIS CASE IN MAY.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	END OF TRANSCRIPT
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS TAKEN AT THE CIVIL SESSION OF UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPTION OF THE PROCEEDINGS TAKEN BY ME IN MACHINE SHORTHAND AND TRANSCRIBED BY COMPUTER UNDER MY SUPERVISION. THIS THE 25TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2011. /S/ DONNA J. TOMAWSKI DONNA J. TOMAWSKI OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER